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**Impact of Current Remote Work Adoption on Employee Productivity**

# **Introduction**

Exploring the dynamic landscape of work in the aftermath of the pandemic in 2023, certain noteworthy data on remote work emerges. These statistics not only provide insight into the current state of telecommuting but also give a glimpse into the potential path it may take in the future. At present, 12.7% of individuals employed full-time operate from their residences, showcasing the swift integration of remote work settings (Haan, 2023). Currently, 28.2% of workers use a hybrid work model, blending remote and in-person tasks (Haan, 2023). Even with the consistent increase in remote work, the predominant share of the workforce (59.1%) remains engaged in on-site work. This proportion emphasizes that, despite the growing popularity of remote work, the conventional in-office work setup is still far from becoming obsolete (Lahtinen, 2021), making it necessary to research some of the factors that impact remote work adoption, particularly with regards to productivity.

The prevailing trend in workers' inclination toward remote work is underscored by a striking statistic — a whopping 98% expressed the desire to engage in remote work, even if only part-time (Haan, 2023). This awe-inspiring partiality reflects the personnel’s surging appreciation for the improved work-life balance, flexibility, and autonomy that remote work can provide (Camberos, 2023). Similarly, 93% of employers plot to sustain remote job interviews, indicating a readiness for virtual methods and acknowledging remote work as a sustainable long-term option (Haan, 2023). Continuing to illustrate this transformation, approximately 16% of businesses have already completely adopted the remote work paradigm, functioning without a brick-and-mortar workplace (Haan, 2023). These innovative enterprises act as pioneers in the field of telecommuting, showcasing the viability of such frameworks and establishing a precedent for emulation by others. Nevertheless, many managers continue to raise concerns on the remote work impact on employee productivity (Bloom et al., 2023).

## **Statement of the Issue**

Telecommuting represents a substantial shift in the nature of work, comparable in significance to transformations witnessed post-World War II (Bloom et al., 2023). However, its progress is hindered by a significant disconnection between managerial figures and their subordinates. An illustrative instance of this disconnect is observed in the actions of Elon Musk. In November, he mandated that his employees return to physical office spaces, but subsequently reversed this decision when faced with the imminent risk of increased resignations (Bloom et al., 2023; Mohiuddine, 2023). Musk's initial stance was a pronounced error, characterized as "hardcore," yet a milder variation of this narrative unfolds on a broader scale throughout the economic landscape (Bloom et al., 2023). Often arising, managers and employees perceive the impact of remote on productivity differently with managers mainly considering it to result in lower employee productivity (Jalagat & Jalagat; 2019; Bloom et al., 2023; Mohiuddine, 2023). Besides, findings from exiting literature on the topic vary.

## **Objectives of Study**

### **General objectives**

The study will examine and analyze the impact of current remote work adoption on employee productivity, considering the perspectives of both managers and employees.

### **Specific Objectives**

This study will:

1. Investigate the factors influencing managers' perceptions of remote work and its impact on employee productivity.
2. Explore employees' experiences and perspectives on productivity in remote work settings.

## **Justification/ Importance of Study**

This research addresses a gap in understanding how remote work impacts productivity. With a significant workforce favoring remote work, it's crucial to reconcile differing perspectives between managers and employees. The study offers insights for guiding organizational strategies, integrating remote work more efficiently. Additionally, it explores historical parallels, enriching the academic discourse on work structure evolution. Ultimately, the results contribute to ongoing literature on contemporary workplace dynamics.

## **Scope of Study**

This study will involve interviewing 5 managers and 20 remote workers to explore the impact of current remote work on productivity. It aims to understand perspectives from both managers and employees, bridging perception gaps and providing insights into remote work challenges and opportunities.

# **Literature Review**

The demographic with the highest inclination towards remote work consists of individuals between the ages of 24 and 35 (Lonska et al., 2021; Haan, 2023). Among this age group, 39% engage in full-time remote work, while 25% do so on a part-time basis (Haan, 2023). This implies that the younger workforce places a significant emphasis on the freedom and independence associated with remote work, potentially influencing businesses seeking to appeal to and keep these individuals (Woldoff & Litchfield, 2021). Remote work accessibility is notably influenced by educational attainment. Individuals with advanced levels of education stand a greater chance of engaging in remote work (Haan, 2023). This correlation may stem from the nature of positions that require postgraduate qualifications, typically involving cognitive tasks that can be carried out from any location (Haan, 2023).

In 2023, the computer and IT sector lead the way in remote work, reflecting the digital nature of tasks that require only a reliable internet connection (Mukherjee & Narang, 2023). Industries like marketing, accounting, finance, project management, and even healthcare are swiftly adapting to remote work through the use of digital tools (Haan, 2023). Surprisingly, traditionally office-centric roles in HR, recruiting, and customer service are also benefiting from virtual collaboration tools, proving that physical proximity is no longer a prerequisite for effectiveness (Cappelli, 2021). The most sought-after remote job roles include are graphic designers, project managers, technical writers, customer success managers, senior financial analysts, recruiters, accountants, customer service representatives, and product marketing managers. This diversity underscores the expanding reach of remote work across various professional domains, affirming its transition from a niche concept to a prevailing trend facilitated by evolving digital tools and shifting work norms.

Despite remote swiftly extending to different professions and being widely adopted post the COVID 19 pandemic, one major challenge is managers and employees having distinct comprehension on its impact on employee productivity. According to Bloom et al. (2023), there is a stark contrast in opinions between managers and employees regarding critical elements of remote work, as indicated by their conducted surveys. One notable disparity lies in the belief of managers who are convinced that working from home diminishes productivity, while employees hold the opposing view, contending that it significantly enhances productivity (Bloom et al., 2023). Employees often include commuting time in their productivity assessment, viewing remote work as a productivity boost due to time saved (Alghaithi & Sartawi, 2020). In contrast, managers focus solely on work hours and may see a decrease in productivity if output remains the same but billing rates drop (Bloom et al., 2023). Additionally, there exist a disconnect in disciplinary consequences, with managers viewing remote work deviations more severely than employees do (Bloom et al., 2023).

# **Proposed Methodology**

## **Research Design**

This research aims to utilize a qualitative research framework to thoroughly investigate the intricate viewpoints of managers and remote employees. The participant selection will employ a purposive sampling strategy, aiming to include individuals from various industries and occupational positions. The qualitative methodology facilitates a comprehensive examination of the elements that shape perceptions of remote work and its effects on work efficiency.

## **Source of Data**

The main data for this investigation will be derived from interviews carried out with 5 managers and 20 individuals engaged in remote work. The selection of these participants will be purposeful, intended to encompass a spectrum of experiences, occupational positions, and industry affiliations, thus ensuring a thorough comprehension of the varied viewpoints concerning productivity in remote work settings.

## **Data Collection Method**

Qualitative data will be obtained through the implementation of semi-structured interviews. These interviews will be shaped by a series of open-ended questions, providing participants with the freedom to articulate their opinions, share experiences, and convey perceptions openly. The selection of interviews as the data collection approach will facilitate a more profound investigation into the elements that impact productivity within remote work environments.

## **Data Processing and Analysis**

The amassed data will undergo transcription and be subjected to thematic analysis. This process entails discerning patterns, themes, and shared elements within the responses. Coding will be applied to categorize the data, and the evolving themes will be scrutinized to furnish a comprehensive comprehension of the effects of the present adoption of remote work on employee productivity, considering both managerial and employee viewpoints.

## **Budget**

The financial allocation for this study will encompass costs associated with participant recruitment, conducting interviews, transcription services, and the procurement of data analysis software. Furthermore, provisions will be made for any unexpected expenses that may arise throughout the research endeavor. The designated budget for this project is established at $300.

## **Work Plan**

Table 1: Work plan Gannt chart.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | First month | Second month | Third month | Fourth month |
| Literature review and research design development | ✔ |  |  |  |
| Participant recruitment and data collection |  | ✔ |  |  |
| Data analysis and interpretation |  |  | ✔ |  |
| Report documentation and submission |  |  |  | ✔ |
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